Jackson reported that Sophia Vinogradov began her tenure as head of the department of psychiatry in July 2016 and has already had an impact on the department and the greater com62

Litigation Review Co.5(tj1..1( )-79.1(ti)-5.5t(v)-9.4
A meeting of the Litigation Review Committee of
February 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. in the East Comm

Regents present: Thomas Devine, presiding; Tho
McMillan, and Darrin Rosha.

The committee voted unanimously to adopt the resolution and the public portion of the meeting ended at 8:03 a.m.

The meeting adjourned at 9:25 a.m.

BRIAN R. STEEVES
Executive Director and
Corporate Secretary

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS

Facilities, Planning & Operations Committee
February 9 , 2017

A meeting of the Facilities, Planning & Operations Committee of the Board of Regents was held on Thursday , February 9, 2017 at 9:45 a.m. in the West Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center.

Regents present: David McMillan , presiding; Thomas Anderson , Thomas Devine, Dean Johnson, Peggy Lucas, and Darrin Rosha.

Staff present: President Eric Kaler; Interim Chancellor Barbara Keinath ; Senior Vice President Brian Burnett; Vice President Brooks Jackson; Interim Vice President s Michael Berthelsen and Berna

SCHEMATIC DESIGN: INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS TRACK AND FIELD FACILITY AND RELOCATED RECREATION WELLNESS FACILITIES - TWIN CITIES CAMPUS

Regent McMillan invited Bruce Gritters, Director of Project Delivery ; Scott Ellison, Associate Athletics Director; and George Brown, Assistant Vice Provost and director of University Recreation and Wellness , to present for review and action the schematic design for the intercollegiate athletics track and field facility and relocated recreation wellness facilities, as detailed in the docket .

Gritters summarized the location of the proposed track and field and the relocation of the recreational wellness facilities. Ellison explained the project rationale for the track and field and Brown offered the project rationale for the recreational wellness facilities. Gritters outlined the project description and cost estimates.

In response to a question from McMillan, Ellison responded that the University has not had a competition -level track for some time. He added that the track was repaired

the state of the current facilities. In response to a question from McMillan, Jackson noted that none of the moves are temporary.

merits of the project against the established process. McMillan responded that review and action in the same meeting used

x Amendment to 99 -

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS

Faculty & Staff Affairs Committee
February 9, 2017

A meeting of the Faculty & Staff Affairs Committee of the Board o f Regents was held on Thursday, February 9, 2017 at 9:45 a .m. in the East Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center.

Regents present: Patricia Simm ons, presiding; Richard Beeson, Laura Brod, Michael Hsu, and Abdul Omari.

Staff present: President Eric Kaler; Chancellor Stephen Lehmkuhle; Executive Vice President and Provost K aren Hanson; Vice President s Katrice Albert and Kathryn Brown; and Executive Director Brian Steeves.

Student Representatives present: Lauren Anderson and Phillip Guebert .

BOARD OF REGENTS POL ICY: RESERVATION AND DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY (APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY)

Regent Simmons invited Vice President Brown to present for review appointment authority changes to Board of Regents Policy: Reservation and Delegation of Authority , as detailed in the docket. Simmons explained that after the committee's review of the policy in December , the administration provided new language and so the policy is once again before the committee for review.

Brown reviewed the proposed amendments . She explained that the amended language articulates the authority of the presiden t to consult with the Board Chair and Vice Chair if he deems it appropriate for any personnel agreement.

Simmons noted that the revised policy adds the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs to the list

Min nesota, not the legislature, and asked the committee to consider how else the Board should exercise oversight of athletics beyond approving coach contracts.

Simmons asked President Kaler to share his opinion on the amended language. Kaler stated that the language adjustments are overdue and timely given public scrutiny of high-value coach contracts. He added that peer institutions have varying levels of board involvement in approval of coach contracts, and asked the committee to consider flexibility in its policy language. Kaler suggested an approval process of consultation with the Chair and Vice Chair during the negotiation phase followed by action by the full Board. He reminded the committee that in today's meeting it will consider a contract for the head football coach that is subject to Board approval even though policy does not explicitly require it. Kaler expressed his indifference in adding specific coaches to the list of titles in the policy, but suggested that the Board consider how doing so may change how it interacts with Athletics.

Simmons asked the committee whether the Board should approve coach contracts. Regent Beeson conveyed his support for the amended language, noting it adheres to good governance practices but also recognizes the amount of money involved in the transactions. He added that he is unsure of the value the Board brings to the coach selection and hiring process. Beeson recognized the Board's desired outcome, but cautioned the committee to consider the unintended consequences of creating relationships with coaches while considering approval of their contract. He suggested that if the Board amends the policy to include the titles of individual coaches, the Board should also review its code of ethics and write guidelines for Regent interaction with coaches and the athletic director.

Hsu explained that his original proposal did not include listing individual coaches, but established an arbitrary salary threshold that would address a number of highly paid employees. He added that the Governance & Policy Committee utilized the president's salary as a threshold in its amended language, but that the Faculty & Staff Affairs Committee did not want to tie approvals to a salary threshold, but rather include specific individual positions that would be subject to approval,

s gtd [(4)-24(.ai]T11.5(8)8.6(2)31.6(Td [(P)310.325 0 Td [(EMC /P

Brown described the changing demographics of the state's workforce and the retirement risk of many employees across the University to illustrate that candidate pools are you nger and more diverse, which necessi tates new recruiting activities and strategies for talent acquisition.

Regent Omari encouraged the committee to think about how the University can better creat e an inclusive environment and retain talented employees, noting the cost of high turnover. Brown commented that turnover is a challenge with millennials, as they tend to change jobs frequently .

CONSENT REPORT

Vice President Brown invited President Kaler and Athletic Director Mark Coyle to join her in presenting for review and action the Consent Report, which included the following items:

- Conferral of Tenure for two outside hires.
- Appointment of Peter Matthew (Matt) Kramer as Vice President for University and Government Relations.
- Appointment of Philli p John (P.J.) Fleck as Head Football Coach, Twin Cities campus.

Regent Simmons requested that the committee consider separate action on each item in the consent report. A motion was made and seconded and the committee voted unanimously to recommend approv al of conferral of tenure for two outside hires.

Kaler presented for approval the appointment of Matthew (Matt) Kramer as Vice President for University and Government Relations, and explained the reorganization of University and Government Relations that he would present for approval by the Board on the following day. A motion was made and seconded.

In response to a question from Regent Omari, Kaler explained that the Board would consider the reorganization of University and Government Relations before approving Kramer's hire.

Regent Hsu asked Kaler to share the current supervisory structure of these two areas. Kaler explained that Government Relations reports to him , and Marketing and the News Service report to his chief of staff. He added that this r estructure would allow the chief of staff to have a greater internal focus. Kaler emphasized the importance of University and Government Relat ions reporting to one leader since it must ensure cohesive messages to all external audiences, including media and the legislature.

In response to a question from Hsu, Kaler clarified that this is a replacement position.

Regent Beeson expressed his support for the appointment a nd asked if the position w ill allow him to engage with the corporate community. Kaler shared that the O ffice of University Economic Development (UED) , which primarily enga ges the corporate community, currently reports to the Vice President for Research. The Interim Vice President for Research will review and make a recommendation on the ap propriate reporting structure for UED .

Regent Brod congratulated Kaler on the creation of the position and noted that Kramer is well suited for the role . She expressed her satisfaction with the proposed reorganization of University and Government Relatio ns.

The committee voted unanimously to recommend approval of the appointment.

Coyle presented for approval the appointment of Phillip John (P.J.) Fleck as Head Football Coach, Twin Cities campus. Kaler offered his support of the hire. A motion was made and seconded.

Hsu explained that he is unable to support the recommendation without additional information about the financial implications of the hire. Kaler confirmed next steps for Hsu to receive that information. He added that Athletics would need to borrow from the central reserves budget but that it also anticipated increased revenue from media and ticket sales.
The committee voted 3-2 to recommend approval. Hsu and Omari voted no.

INFORMATION ITEMS

Vice President Brown referred the committee to the information items. She highlighted the Workforce Metrics Report, which includes updates from the ninth payroll of the 2016-17 fiscal year. Brown also noted the information report and recognized Sandra Echlin, who recently retired, for her work in compiling the information report for the agenda for decades. Simmons also expressed her best wishes to Echlin.

The meeting adjourned at 11:26 a.m.

BRIAN R. STEEVES
Executive Director and
Corporate Secretary

Regent Beeson commented that the agreement made sense when it was first negotiated, but that it would be troubling to renew without a clearer path forward. He expressed his hope that new FHS leadership would help guide more productive negotiations. He offered that a continued agreement should not preclude the University from looking into other partners

patients. She added that many patients who undergo complex procedures may need more routine care in the future, making it important to offer a variety of services.

Chair Johnson invited FHS CEO James Hereford to speak. Hereford reported a deep appreciation for the value of academic medicine and the school's expectations for success. He explained he was drawn to FHS by the potential of the partnership with the University. Hereford suggested that all the parts are in place to create a world-class health system, but more work is required. He cautioned that less federal funding is likely in the future, so it is imperative to establish the strongest partnerships possible. He offered that these partnerships and a commitment to quality care will help sustain the brand through inevitable change.

In response to a question from Regent Hsu, Hereford responded that he believes there is an advantage to renewing the agreement but agreed that it is a complicated document. He emphasized that trust is a key element of any agreement, which is difficult to codify. He suggested there are opportunities to simplify the agreement, better articulate the flow of funds, and achieve smoother alignment between the two entities.

In response to a question from Regent Beeson, Daniels explained that it is difficult to determine the exact savings achieved through the integrated structure. Each institution operates on a different fiscal year and employs varying budget processes.

Regent Simmons emphasized

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS

Academic & Student Affairs Committee
February 9 , 2017

A meeting of the Academic & Student Affairs Committee of the Board of Regents was held on Thursday, February 9, 2017 at 2:30 p.m. in the East Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center.

Regents present: Linda Cohen , presiding; Thomas Anderson , Dean Johnson , Peggy Lucas, Patricia Simmons, and Darrin Rosha .

Staff present: Chancellor Stephen Lehmkuhle ; Executive Vice President and Provost Karen Hanson; Vice Presidents Katrice Albert and Brooks Jackson ; Interim Vice President Allen Levine; General Counsel Douglas Peterson; and Executive Director Brian Steeves.

Student Representatives present: Mina Kian and Lauren Mitchell.

DELIVERING ON THE ACADEMIC MISSION OF THE MEDICAL SCHOOL

Regent Cohen invited Provost Hanson to introduce the Brooks Jackson, Vice President for Health Sciences and Dean of the Medical School , and Mark Rosenberg, Vice Dean for Education and Academic Affairs at the Medical School , to discuss the academic mission of the

factors considered. He reported that the BA/MD program, currently in its first -year pilot phase, was created to address diversity in the Medical School when the University realized many diverse applicants were selecting other medic

CONSENT REPORT

A motion was made and seconded, and the committee voted unanimously to recommended approval of the following, as described in the Consent Report:

x Postsecondary Planning: A Joint Report to the Minnesota

- x Swenson College of Science and Engineering (Duluth campus) —Discontinue the B.S. degree in Computer Information Systems
- x School of Fine Arts (Duluth campus) —Discontinue the B.F.A. in Graphic Design and Marketing

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

BRIAN R. STEEVES
Executive Director and
Corporate Secretary

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS

Finance Committee
February 9, 2017

A meeting of the Finance Committee of the Board of Regents was held on Thursday, February 9, 2017 at 2:30 p.m. in the West Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center. Present: Chair Dean Johnson, Regent Beeson, Regent Cunningham, Regent Peterson, Executive Director Brian Steeves; and Associate Vice Presidents Stuart Mason, Julie Tonneson, and Michael Volna.

Student Representatives present: Mike Kenyanya and Tareyn Stomberg.

FULLY ALLOCATED COST OF MISSION ACTIVITIES: UNIT ANALYSIS

Regent Beeson invited Associate Vice President Tonneson and Lincoln Kallsen, Director of Institutional Analysis, to lead a discussion on the fully allocated cost of mission activities, unit analysis (analysis), as detailed in the docket.

Tonneson reminded the committee of the general analysis methodology. She reviewed the FY 2014 fully allocated mission expenditures by instruction, research, public service, auxiliaries, and student aid. She outlined the type of revenue source for each mission activity.

In response to a quest

Devine asked why UMAA and UMF are not included in auxiliaries. Tonneson clarified that for example, Athletics, as a full unit of the University, is in the auxiliary category and not included in any of the mission categories. She specified that support given by the University to UMF would be included as an expense, but any revenue taken in by UMAA or UMF would not be included since they are separate units from the University.

Kallsen explained the second-level analysis done on the cost per full year equivalent by student (FYE). He noted that for some units, like the College of Continuing Education or the College of Education and Human Development (CEHD), the FYE appears lower because programs like College in the Schools flow revenue through Continuing Education or physical education

Kaler outlined OpEx and its many initiatives. He noted that the focus of this discussion is the goal to reduce and reallocate $90 million in administrative costs by the end of FY 2019. Burnett reviewed the reallocations made to date, noting the $21.5 million planned for FY 2018-19. H e offered that deans and chancellors across the system are concerned with finding additional administrative costs to reallocate in the final two years of OpEx.

Burnett outlined the oversight and accountability for OpEx results, reviewing the legislative appropriati on tied to $15 million in annual cost reductions and reallocations and the gold measure included in the University Progress Card. He reminded the committee of the administrative cost benchmarking and the challenge of not being able to benchmark against peers. He advised that the University continue to benchmark like this since there is value in continuing to monitor the analysis over time.

Burnett offered observations and lessons learned since the inception of the OpEx program. He noted that it is difficult to effect material changes between the administrative cost benchmarking categories of mission, mission support and facilities, and leadership and oversight since it takes a $3 million shift to change on 0.008 TwE 0.325 00-ich t2J 0 0 0 rg 0 0 0 1 6 c. a2 3 7 (a1) 7 d 1 0 (

asked whether the administration has reviewed the effectiveness of those cuts and how they have affected the University. Burnett agreed that such cuts do affect the workforce and that the administration should review t hem. He indicated that the University has reallocated resources from eliminated positions to higher priority positions.

In response to Kenyanya, Kaler offered an example of the Office of Information Technology (OIT) employing people to ans wer the main University phone number. The University eliminated those positions, which did not affect the function of the University , and reallocated the funds within OIT to improve instructional material s development. Kaler added that the administration has pushed units to ensure their work is valued and needed, and not being done simply because they have always done that work. Kaler suggested that cuts up to this point have no t significantly affected students, but that might not be true as the $90 million is completed.

Assistant Vice President Volna reported that the University reports a detailed list of cuts to the Board each year . He expressed his vi ew that cuts were easier to make in the first years of OpEx but have become mo re difficult . He noted that many colleges have not eliminated programs, but have moved positions between programs.

Omari agreed that a concerted effort toward reducing administrative costs must continue, but argued that cutting student jobs is unacceptab le. He noted that the committee could review the impact on total number of student jobs across the University to see if new jobs in one unit offset cuts in another , but stressed prioritizing the impact on student employment.

In response to a question from Beeson, Tonneson explained that reallocations always are made to balance the budget , and that reallocations within the academic portion of the mission are not coun ted toward the $90 million administrative cost goal .

Hsu exp ressed his support for continuing OpEx and advocated that it be a part of the University's strategic planning. He suggested that the University seek other opportunities to control costs and ensure tuition remains reasonable . Kaler responded that additional revenue from the state is unlikely , so the U niversity must focus on maximizing revenue -generating opportunities while continuing to maintain costs. He identified professional development, continuing education, auxiliary units , and sales as sources of addi tional revenue. Kaler noted that accelerating those areas could balance the need for cuts elsewhere.

ANNUAL CAPITAL FINANCING AND DEBT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Regent Beeson invited Carole Fleck, Director of Debt Management, to present the annual capital

University's outlook from negative. Fleck noted key financial indicators, and compared the University to peer groups.

Regent Devine observed that peers like the University of Michigan, University of Virginia, and Pennsylvania State University all have high reserves and a history of strong athletic programs. He suggested that strong athletic programs help build alumni giving.

President Kaler noted the size of Ohio State University's debt and asked if it is close to having its credit rating downgraded. Senior Vice President Burnett responded that the primary piece of Ohio State's debt is the rebuilding of the academic health center, and suggested the institution spent over $1 billion to do so. Associate Vice President Volna agreed and added that many of the outliers have health systems, which drive their credit ratings differently.

In response to a question from Regent Hsu, Volna noted that ratings are a combination of multiple factors. He explained that the University has spoken to rating agencies in the past about the possibility of the University moving up. He noted that given the difference in interest costs between an Aa1 and AAA credit rating, along with turbulent economic times and the risk of being downgraded, the administration is comfortable remaining at an Aa1 rating. Volna added that the cost differential between the two ratings is minimal given the compression of interest rates and the overall low interest rate market.

REVIEW OF REQUIRED REPORTS

Regent Beeson invited Associate Vice President Volna to review the reports that are required to come to the committee, as detailed in the docket.

Volna outlined the required reports and noted the administration's recommendations for each. He recommended that the quarterly purchasing report, which includes transactions and any purchasing violations, be changed to an annual report. He explained that the administration is already using a more strategic, forward-looking annual report and this could replace the more transactional quarterly reports. Volna added that on average, there are only five to six purchasing violations each year.

Regent Devine expressed his preference for more information rather than less and stated his inclination to keep the quarterly report. Regent Hsu agreed, adding that it should not be difficult to generate a quarterly report if the information is available and that it ensures the Board is aware of any purchasing violation sooner rather than later.

Regent Omari offered that the committee could adopt a semi-annual report, pilot the change next year, and then reevaluate.

Regent McMillan noted that part of the challenge with required reports is that they are included as information items and left until the end of the meeting. He suggested that reports most important to the

General Contingency:

- There were no items requiring approval this period.

Purchase of Goods and Services $1,000,000 and Over:

- To CDW Government LLC for $27,044,499 for Cisco equipment for core segment of Next Generation Network equipment and support and maintenance for the Office of Information Technology (OIT) for the period of February 15, 2017, through February 14, 2022. The first year purchase of equipment and suppo rt and maintenance is funded through the financing plan for The Next Generation Network project, which was reviewed and approved by the Board of Regents in October 2016. Subsequent years' expense will be paid for as part of OIT's annual operating budget. V endor was selected through a competitive process.

- To CDW Government LLC for $2,965,032 for Cisco equipment for data center segment

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS

Board of Regents Meeting
February 10, 2017

A meeting of the

Finance Committee – December 8, 2016
Audit & Compliance Committee – December 8, 2016
Governance & Policy Co mmittee – December 8, 2016

Omari withdrew his motion. Simmons withdrew her second.

A motion w as made and seconded and the Board voted unanimously to approve the Resolution Related to Restructuring University and Government Relations, as follows:

WHEREAS, the Regents of the University of Minnesota recognize and reaffirm the President's responsibilities and accountability for developing, recommending, and administering the policies of the Board of Regents; and

WHEREAS, the Regents recognize and reaffirm the importance of providing the President with flexibility in the organization, reporting lines, and position descriptions of central administration; and

WHEREAS, the University of Minnesota must continue to pursue a comprehensive agenda of assessing the improving admi nistrative structure, processes, and procedures in order to improve quality, efficiency, effectiveness, and public accountability; and

WHEREAS, the University of Minnesota's strategic planning and decision -making processes are best served by designing and implementing administrative structures that ensure clear responsibility, authority, and accountability at the college and campus levels; and

WHEREAS, the organization of central administration and appointment of senior leaders at the University shall be consistent with University and Board policies and anHEREAS, t2(se-15.3(st)-7.6(r

additional resources to ensure that all students receive appropriate training , and urged the Board to hold itself accoun table on this matter.

Chair Johnson noted that he is pleased by the shift in department culture to reinforce acceptable behaviors. He agreed that more resources should be provided to ensure better training for all students.

In response to a question from Johnson, Coyle reported that the Athletes Village project is on time and on budget; the target completion date is January 2018. He reported significant donor support and th at th e project has already met more than half of its fundraising goal .

Regent Devine was excused from the meeting due to a prior commitment.

2016 UNIVERSITY PLAN, PERFORMANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

Chair Johnson invited Executive Vice President and Provost Karen Hanson to present the 2016 University Plan, Performance & Accountability Report for approval , as detailed in the docket. Hanson reported several changes since the February review: a footnote was added to better define "student debt " and a summary leaflet was developed to provide a high -level overview of key information.

Regent Omari th anked the provost and her staf f for their efforts. He commented that the report is the best resour ce for highlighting the University's achievements.

The Board of Regents voted unanimously to approve the 2016 University Plan, Performance & Accountability Report .

BUILDING COMMUNITY: NEIGHBORHOOD ENGAGEMENT & UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY SAFETY

Chair Johnson invited Interim Vice President Michael Berthelsen; Associate Vice Presidents Andrew Furco and Maggie Towle ; Directors Monique MacKenzie and Jan Morlock; and UMPD Chief M att Clark to discuss neighborhood engagement and University community safety, as detailed in the docket.

Berthelsen discussed reasons strong community engagement is important for the University, emphasizing the place -based learning experience and the high concentration of near -campus housing.

Furco re ported on academic element s of community engagement, detailing outreach efforts by students and faculty. He noted the importance of reciprocal involvement with the community and discussed ways the Twin Cities strategic plan helps guide engagement efforts . Towle discussed student involvement with the neighborhoods. Morlock reviewed planning and development priorities , and discussed partnerships of wiTJ -0 0.0ps

Morlock discussed efforts by the University to contribute financially to the community,

a marginal increase in international and resident students but a decrease for domestic students , especially those from Illinois and Wisconsin.

McMaster stressed the importance of admitting students for success, as defined by graduating in a timely manner. Hanson discussed the holistic admissions process . McMaster reviewed average ACT scores by college to demonstrate t he broad range of scores for admitted students across the University . He discussed affordability and access for residents, highlighting an increased focus on students from greater M innesota . He reviewed elemen ts of the student experience and student success initiatives.

Hanson reported on the University's commitment to transfer students, not ing increased availability of programs designed to enhance the overall campus experience. She emphasized the importance and value of diversity . McMaster provided data on incoming freshman and overall headcount for students of color. He noted recruitment and outreach efforts for multicultural students , including partnerships w ith University and statewide groups.

McMast er r eviewed historic retention rates for new freshman, students of color, and other domestic students, emphasizing the importance of first -year retention in timely graduation . He discussed need - and me rit -based aid programs and reported that a work group has been formed to simplify the aid application process. Hanson discussed the state's workforce needs.

Regent Hsu observed that enrollment targets are capped by housing availability. He argued that current housing projects do not support enrollment goals and that he would like to see more options for campus housing. He suggested considering test -optional for admissions since applications are down , noting this could increase applications as well as diversity. In response to a question from Hsu, Kaler clarified that the proposed 2018 tuition rates were included to prompt initial discussion s about tuition. H su s uggested automatic admission for top- ranked Minnesota students.

Regent McMillan shared his appreciation for ongoing Board dis cussions about admissions pol icy. He requested greater consideration for Minnesota studen.7( )002 Tc 0.15.3(n)-45(o)]TJ -343.8(n)-4.6( )03.84.3(n)

In response to a question from Chair Johnson, Omari clarified that he identified the Minneapolis/St. Paul metro area because the enrollment plan is for the Twin Cities campus. Students of color from other communities would still be included.

Regent Simmons remarked on the importance of Omari's remarks and the issues he raised. She voiced concern over the

Regent Beeson supported the motion to refer to a committee or work session discussion . He warned

B. Appointment of Peter Matthew (Matt) Kramer as Vice President for University and Government Relations.

A motion was made and seconded and the Board of Regents voted unanimously to approve the appointment of Kramer as Vice President for University and Government Relations.

C. Appointment of Phillip John (P.J.) Fleck as Head Football Coach, Twin Cities Campus.

Regent Rosha remarked that the financial assumptions associated with the appointment appear sound. He voiced concern about short-term costs, but also his belief that those costs will be off s]TJ41.121 eh48.7(f).8(f)-4.3(f)]Tb 0.008 Tw 467.9(r)-1767.8(si)-3.2(dat)-7.5(i)-3.21Tc 0 Tw 1. -4..1(-12.4]of)]Tb 0

A motion was made and seconded and the Board of Regents voted unanimously to appr ove the capital budget amendment for contaminated real estate remediation .

2) Approval of Schematic Design for Intercollegiate Athletics Track and Field Facility and Relocated Recreation Wellness Facilities, Twin Cities Campus.

The Board of Regents voted unanimously to approve the recommendations of the A udit & Compliance Committee .

NEW

Year 201 6-17

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS